

Nominate a Heritage Asset

20 Villiers Lane, with 62 and 64 Rose Hill



© Crown Copyright and database right 2017.
Ordnance Survey 100019348.

| 1. WHAT IS IT? Is it one of the following? | Tick |
|---|------|
| a building or group of buildings | ✓ |
| a monument or site (an area of archaeological remains or a structure other than a building) | |
| a place (e.g. a street, park, garden or natural space) | |
| a landscape (an area defined by visual features or character, e.g. a city centre, village, suburb or field system) | |

| 2. WHY IS IT INTERESTING? Is it interesting in any of the following ways? | Tick / Rank |
|--|-------------|
| Historic interest – a well documented association with a person, event, episode of history, or local industry | ✓ |
| Archaeological interest – firm evidence of potential to reveal more about the human past through further study | |
| Architectural interest – an example of an architectural style, a building of particular use, a technique of building, or use of materials | ✓ |
| Artistic interest – It includes artistic endeavour to communicate meaning or use of design (including landscape design) to enhance appearance | |
| What is it about the asset that provides this interest? | |
| Historic Interest – These buildings were erected in roughly the early 19 th Century or late 18 th Century. When they were first built, they were part of a small group of houses, along with the nearby Methodist church and 'King of Prussia' public house. When they were built | |

Nominate a Heritage Asset

they were surrounded by rural countryside and are a reminder of the rural heritage of the area. They were only surrounded by built form with the development of the larger, post-war surrounding housing estates, largely to house the city's growing industrial workforce.

Architectural Interest – 62 Rose Hill, formerly known as Rose Villa, in particular is of architectural interest due to its unusual tower with overlapping oval tiles and conical slate roof. This unique architecture makes this building of special significance

| | |
|--|-------------|
| 3. WHY IS IT LOCALLY VALUED? Is the interest of the asset valued locally for any of the following reasons? | Tick / Rank |
| Association: It connects us to people and events that shaped the identity or character of the area | |
| Illustration: It illustrates an aspect of the area's past that makes an important contribution to its identity or character | ✓ |
| Evidence: It is an important resource for understanding and learning about the area's history | |
| Aesthetics: It makes an important contribution to the positive look of the area either by design or fortuitously | ✓ |
| Communal: It is important to the identity, cohesion, spiritual life or memory of all or part of the community | |
| How is the asset locally valued as heritage? | |
| Illustration – These buildings, both in their location and architecture, remind one of the rural origins of the area. | |
| Aesthetics – The distinctive tower of 62 and the special character of the three buildings combines, enhance the aesthetics of the surrounding area, which is otherwise largely dominated by post-war housing. | |

| | |
|---|------|
| 4. WHAT MAKES ITS LOCAL SIGNIFICANCE SPECIAL? Do any of the following features make the heritage significance of the asset stand out above the surrounding environment? | Tick |
| Age ... Is it particularly old, or of a date that is significant to the local area? | ✓ |
| Rarity ... Is it unusual in the area or a rare survival of something that was once common? | ✓ |
| Integrity ... Is it largely complete or in a near to original condition? | ✓ |
| Group value ... Is it part of a group that have a close historic, aesthetic or communal association? | |
| Oxford's identity ... Is it important to the identity or character of the city or a particular part of it? | |
| Other ... Is there another way you think it has special local value? | |
| How does this contribute to its value? | |
| Age – The age of these three buildings make them significant in an area dominated by very recent housing estates. | |
| Rarity – The houses all represent something of a rarity in the area, in terms of their rural- esque architecture, but 62 Rose Hill in particular, with its distinctive tower, represents a truly unique sight in Oxford | |
| Integrity - While part of the houses have been altered over time, they remain largely original in their external elevations and aesthetic. | |

Nominate a Heritage Asset

Welcome to the nominations form for the Oxford Heritage Assets Register

What the form is for

The nomination form asks you to demonstrate how your candidate asset meets the criteria for inclusion on the Oxford Heritage Assets Register (the criteria are set out on the next page). The criteria ensure registration as a heritage asset is the most appropriate means to manage your valued feature of the environment.

Registration does not mean an asset will be preserved in its current state in perpetuity. Planning policy allows change to heritage assets that conserves or better reveals their significance or, where change requires their loss, replaces the benefit to the public that they provide. The information provided in support of your nomination will help determine what forms of change might be acceptable. Saying "it's important and must never change" won't tell us what we need to know to manage your heritage asset in the future.

Tick or rank?

In answers to Questions 2 – 4 you can rank the interests, values and significance your candidate asset provides to show which you consider the most and least important to its significance; i.e. 1st (most important) – 4th (least important).

Alternatives

If your candidate asset does not have significance that merits inclusion on the register but does contribute to the valued character of the local environment, consider preparing a character statement for the area using the Oxford Character Assessment Toolkit. This identifies features that contribute positively to local character and opportunities for enhancement. It may help to identify other ways that change can contribute to the quality of the local environment and its sense of place.

Where the use of land, buildings or places now or in the recent past, furthers the social wellbeing or social interests of the local community (and this is not an ancillary use), it may be considered to be an asset of community value (community asset), for which the government has made provision in the Localism Act 2011. Regulations give communities the opportunity to identify assets of community value and have them listed and, when they are put up for sale, more time to raise finance and prepare to bid for them. The Council is maintaining a list of community assets. Nevertheless, there may be examples where land is considered to both qualify as a community asset and heritage asset, in which case it will be necessary to distinguish which features of their value and significance are relevant to each designation.

Sites and buildings in conservation areas

Nominate a Heritage Asset

Conservation Areas are 'designated heritage assets' as defined by the government's planning policy and receive a higher level of protection than locally registered heritage assets, including legal restrictions on demolition and some permitted development rights. Nevertheless, they are designated locally and reflect the local value of these areas as heritage assets. Features of the historic landscape within conservation areas that would be considered to have a significance meriting consideration in planning decisions would be considered to contribute to the significance of a conservation area and therefore are considered to be part of a designated heritage asset. As such, we will not consider them for inclusion on the Oxford Heritage Assets Register.

What happens next?

We will prepare a list of candidate heritage assets, which will be presented to the public (including the owners of candidate heritage assets) for consultation. Any responses received from the public will be placed with the nomination form and will be included in the report made to the review panel.

A panel of councillors, council officers and local experts will review the candidate assets nominated to ensure they meet the criteria. The information you provide in answering the questions will be essential for the panel's consideration of your candidate's significance. If they are uncertain, you may be asked to provide further information. Where the panel consider that a candidate has met the criteria they will recommend that the Council include them on the Oxford Heritage Asset Register.

In some instances the review panel may decide that the candidate does not meet the criteria to be included as a heritage asset but might be appropriate for consideration as a community asset. If this is the case, you will be asked to consider making an application for the inclusion of your asset on the Council's list of community assets, which may require additional or different information.

Nominate a Heritage Asset

The Criteria:

Registered Heritage Assets must meet all of the four following criteria:

Criterion 1. They must be capable of meeting the government's definition of a heritage asset.

Demonstrate that your candidate is able to fall within the government's definition of a heritage asset; i.e. a building, monument, site, place, area or landscape.

Criterion 2. They must possess heritage interest that can be conserved and enjoyed.

Identify the properties of your candidate asset that need to be cared for as heritage – this is its *heritage interest*. This might include physical things like its appearance and materials, as well as associations with past people or events. Consider whether the physical features of the candidate asset help to illustrate its associations. The four types of heritage interest listed are recognised in national planning policy.

Criterion 3. They must have a value as heritage for the character and identity of the city, neighbourhood or community because of their heritage interest beyond personal or family connections, or the interest of individual property owners.

Tell us why or how the heritage interest you identified in your answer to Question 2 is of local value - this is its *heritage value*. The types of heritage value suggested on the nomination form are based on national guidance by English Heritage.

Criterion 4. They must have a level of significance that is greater than the general positive identified character of the local area.

Tell us what raises your candidate's heritage value to a level that merits its consideration in planning. Many features of the historic environment are a valued part of local character that should be managed through policies relating to townscape character in the local plan. Registered heritage assets should stand out as of greater significance than these features for their heritage value. The suggested options listed on the nominations form are based on national best practice. If you think your candidate asset has special local significance for another reason please state what it is.

Criteria adopted By Oxford City Council 17.12.12