

LP2040 SPS13 Feedback, comments

Cleone Lane [REDACTED]

Fri 17/11/2023 10:05

To: Planning Policy <planningpolicy@oxford.gov.uk>; Cleone Lane [REDACTED]

Conservation Area status any development Land at Meadow Lane fails to conserve strong rural characteristics identified as key feature of Iffley Conservation Area Appraisal 2009 & will deliver very substantial harm to these assets

County Council Principal Quiet Route Church Way & Meadow Lane are part of designated Quiet Route OXR 18 which is heavily used for leisure, people getting to work, taking children to school, etc with cyclists, joggers, walkers, families with prams, mobility scooters, horse riders. Any development of Land at Meadow Lane fails to take into account the substantial harm that increased vehicle traffic will have on the Quiet Route when OCC policy is to increase active travel, reduce congestion, air pollution, carbon emission. Increased traffic in Iffley is a major concern as Iffley Rd, Church Cowley Rd etc CPZ's, no parking leading to commuters parking unsafely in Iffley's narrow roads

Ecology the Horse Fields on which proposed development, Land on Meadow Lane, meets criteria for classification as an Oxford Wildlife Site. There's a protected species of badgers there with foraging grounds. Any change to these fields causes an irreversible impact on our environment

Flood risk & Drainage in a time of Climate Change, OCC should not allow any development within Flood Zones 3a or 3b, except in wholly exceptional circumstances.

I'm deeply concerned about the proposal to build 30+ houses on the last remaining acres of Iffley Conservation Area. There has been a huge response both locally, Nationally & around the World. The Environment Agency, BBOWT, Buglife, Windrush against Sewage Pollution, Cotswolds Rivers Trust, CPRE Oxfordshire, Oxford Urban Wildlife Group, Iffley Residents Association, Oxford Rivers Improvement Campaign, Oxford Civic Society, Oxford Pedestrians Society, Oxford Badgers Group & Thames Valley Police.

I fundamentally oppose the principle of building on this site since it does not comply either with SP13 or the Council's development plans as a whole.

It is an unsound proposal & there are reasonable alternatives as there are plenty of brownfield sites

Thankyou for your kind consideration

--

This email was Malware checked by UTM 9. <http://www.sophos.com>