DETAILS OF YOUR COMMENT

Part B

Please use a new Part B for each point you are commenting on. Attach all completed forms to Part A.

Please read the accompanying notes before completing Part B. The notes explain what we mean by soundness and legal compliance. These are questions that we are expected to ask consultees.

Q1. Which part of the document do you wish to comment on? (please give the relevant paragraph or policy number)

Paragraph		Policies Map	
Policy Number	E1	Sustainability Appraisal	

Q2. Do you consider that the document:

(a) is legally compliant?	○ Yes	◉ No
(b) is sound?	○ Yes	◉ No
(c) complies with the duty to co-operate?	○ Yes	◉ No

Q3. Do you consider that the document is **unsound** because it is <u>not</u>: (tick as appropriate)

(a) positively prepared?	✔	(c) effective?	✔
(b) justified?	✔	(d) consistent with national policy?	✔

Q4. Please tell us below why you consider the document to be unsound, not legally compliant or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. If you do believe the document is sound, legally compliant, or complies with the duty to co-operate you may use the box to explain why.

The proposed policy in relation to Residential development on employment sites is too restrictive and requires additional hurdles to be overcome than is faced by proposed development of land on Green Field sites. This policy encourages development on the Green Belt and fails to see developed land which has sat vacant and undeveloped for decades, such as at the Oxford Business Park and at Osney Mead. It is Government Policy that these sorts of sites should be developed rather than green field ones; the restrictions imposed by policy E1 (e),(f),(g) and (j) are not needed or desirable as any new housing development should meet the usual planning requirements for any new residential development.

Please use an extra sheet if completing a paper copy.

Q5. What change(s) do you consider necessary to make the document sound or legally compliant? Please explain why this change will achieve soundness or legal compliance. (Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination.) It would be helpful if you could suggest revised wording for the policy or text in question.

As set out above, certain parts of the Policy need changes as they impose additional requirements which go beyond those found for development on green field sites and are neither sound or legally compliant. For the Policy to be sound and legally compliant Policy E 1(e),(f),(g) and (j) should be deleted and any development proposed should be subject to the usual requirements for any proposed residential development. There is no need for any additional requirements.

Please use an extra sheet if completing a paper copy.

This is the end of the comment form