

DETAILS OF YOUR COMMENT

Part B

Please read the accompanying notes before completing Part B. The notes explain what we mean by soundness and legal compliance. These are questions that we are expected to ask consultees.

Please use a new Part B for each point you are commenting on. Attach all completed forms to Part A.

Q1. Which part of the document do you wish to comment on? (please give the relevant paragraph or policy number)

Paragraph

Policies Map

Policy Number

Sustainability Appraisal

Q2. Do you consider that the document:

(a) is legally compliant?

Yes

No

(b) is sound?

Yes

No

(c) complies with the duty to co-operate?

Yes

No

Q3. Do you consider that the document is **unsound** because it is not: (tick as appropriate)

(a) positively prepared?

(c) effective?


(b) justified?

(d) consistent with national policy?

Q4. Please tell us below why you consider the document to be unsound, not legally compliant or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. If you do believe the document is sound, legally compliant, or complies with the duty to co-operate you may use the box to explain why.

Please use an extra sheet if completing a paper copy.

Q5. What change(s) do you consider necessary to make the document sound or legally compliant? Please explain why this change will achieve soundness or legal compliance. (Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination.) It would be helpful if you could suggest revised wording for the policy or text in question.



Please use an extra sheet if completing a paper copy.

This is the end of the comment form

Policy G2

Q4: Logikor wish to emphasise their willingness to provide enhanced green features of the Unipart site (Site SPS7) through implementation of soft landscaping and other ecological features through a masterplan, as well as recognition of the Hollow Brook as a blue feature and its link to the Green Infrastructure (GI) network within and around the site.

Q5: Logikor are concerned that the significant and potentially duplicate requirements of Policies G2 to G5, whilst commendable, results in an unjustified and inappropriately prohibitive approach to achieving ecological enhancement and biodiversity gains on sites in Oxford.