DETAILS OF YOUR COMMENT

Part B

Please use a new Part B for each point you are commenting on. Attach all completed forms to Part A.

Please read the accompanying notes before completing Part B. The notes explain what we mean by soundness and legal compliance. These are questions that we are expected to ask consultees.

Q1. Which part of the document do you wish to comment on? (please give the relevant paragraph or policy number)

Paragraph	2.6	Policies Map	
Policy Number		Sustainability Appraisal	

Q2. Do you consider that the document:

(a) is legally compliant?	○ Yes	◉ No
(b) is sound?	○ Yes	◉ No
(c) complies with the duty to co-operate?	○ Yes	◉ No

Q3. Do you consider that the document is **unsound** because it is <u>not</u>: (tick as appropriate)

(a) positively prepared?	✔	(c) effective?	✔
(b) justified?	✔	(d) consistent with national policy?	✔

Q4. Please tell us below why you consider the document to be unsound, not legally compliant or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. If you do believe the document is sound, legally compliant, or complies with the duty to co-operate you may use the box to explain why.

See the representations on the Duty to Co-operate set out in response to para 2.3 of the Local Plan, which also applies to paragraph 2.6.

Paragraph 2.6 of the Local Plan states "To help address the housing need, we have also been seeking to maximise capacity in the city through our approach in the Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment (HELAA - see HELAA methodology for more details) and site allocations policies which prioritise residential development over other uses." This representation is focussed on the HELAA itself.

This representation is made with a supporting appendix (Capacity Assessment of Oxford City).

Please use an extra sheet if completing a paper copy.

Q5. What change(s) do you consider necessary to make the document sound or legally compliant? Please explain why this change will achieve soundness or legal compliance. (Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination.) It would be helpful if you could suggest revised wording for the policy or text in question.

HELAA process and subsequent capacity and site identification has failed the duty to cooperate and cannot be rectified.

Please use an extra sheet if completing a paper copy.

This is the end of the comment form