DETAILS OF YOUR COMMENT

Part B

Please use a new Part B for each point you are commenting on. Attach all completed forms to Part A.

Please read the accompanying notes before completing Part B. The notes explain what we mean by soundness and legal compliance. These are questions that we are expected to ask consultees.

Q1. Which part of the document do you wish to comment on? (please give the relevant paragraph or policy number)

Paragraph		Policies Map	
Policy Number	SPE1 (site views)	Sustainability Appraisal	

Q2. Do you consider that the document:

(a) is legally compliant?	◉ Yes	○ No
(b) is sound?	○ Yes	◉ No
(c) complies with the duty to co-operate?	◉ Yes	○ No

Q3. Do you consider that the document is **unsound** because it is not: (tick as appropriate)

(a) positively prepared?	☐	(c) effective?	✔
(b) justified?	✔	(d) consistent with national policy?	✔

Q4. Please tell us below why you consider the document to be unsound, not legally compliant or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. If you do believe the document is sound, legally compliant, or complies with the duty to co-operate you may use the box to explain why.

We consider it necessary to clarify commentary on expectations regarding views across the site. The commentary is framed around building heights and, based on text preceding policy SPE1 (para. 8.183), is understood to be concerned with important views across the site from elevated viewpoints as noted in view cone and conservation area documents.

As drafted, the policy could be interpreted as resisting any development that obscures any existing views across the site from any direction. This is likely to hamper positive development Construction of buildings on the site will inevitably interrupt views from Marston Road at street level, through this will not be harmful by default. The policy should be modified to make clear the views which are of particular concern.

Please use an extra sheet if completing a paper copy.

Q5. What change(s) do you consider necessary to make the document sound or legally compliant? Please explain why this change will achieve soundness or legal compliance. (Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination.) It would be helpful if you could suggest revised wording for the policy or text in question.

The policy should be modified to make clear the views which are of particular concern.

Please use an extra sheet if completing a paper copy.

This is the end of the comment form