

## **Matter 1 Written Statement – Deborah Glass-Woodin**

**Plan preparation and scope:** Q3) Has the preparation of the LP complied with the Statement of Community Involvement?

- I do not believe it complies with the City Council's own SCI e.g. 'The opportunity to shape proposals and options...at early stages' and 'explain how comments on planning applications' (or in this case, the LP) 'have been taken into consideration in officers' reports' and 'Front-loading engagement at the early stages of producing new policies and generating options.'

- It certainly doesn't comply with the four Gunning principles.

**Sustainability Appraisal:** Q8) Were reasonable alternatives considered?

- the LP did not include a broad range of alternative options, given the context of crises (housing, climate, wealth inequalities) this City faces. The NPPF is very clear about the need to consider 'the ability for present and future generations to meet their own needs' i.e sustainability should be front and centre and adhered to - a requirement - not simply a guideline.