

What is your name? - Please enter your full name	Katharine Robinson
What is your email address? - Please enter your email address	<a href="mailto:sstmnf.secretary@gmail.com">sstmnf.secretary@gmail.com</a>
What is your organisation? - Please enter your organisation	Summertown and St Margaret's Neighbourhood Forum
What is your address? - Please enter your address	██████████ Oxford
Would you like to be kept informed of future stages of this document? - Updated on progress Y/N?	Yes, I am happy for you to contact me via email
	The Forum supports the uplift where proposed but believes it should be increased more widely across the use classes, better reflecting their impact on the neighbourhoods.
	It should be applied at FULL UPLIFTED RATE to the following: C1: hotels C2: boarding schools and residential colleges F1: non-residential schools and colleges Sui generis assembly and leisure [with the exception of community and not-for profit facilities]
The proposed rates of CIL charges - Please enter your comments	All development types unless stated otherwise unless exceptionality can be proven C1: Hotel expansion within the City continues and is reflected in land values. The supporting evidence provided does not sufficiently support the proposal that hotels should be treated differently from other commercial operations and pay a reduced rate, and we propose that all qualifying hotel developments should therefore pay the full uplifted rate.  C2 & F1: Supporting evidence for learning institutions [non-residential and boarding schools, residential and non-residential colleges] does not sufficiently support the proposal that these operations should pay a reduced rate. The continued expansion of all types of educational facility within the City reflects continued commercial viability, and we therefore propose that they should pay the full uplifted rate for all qualifying developments.  We propose that a default position of payment of the uplifted maximum rate should include sui generis and 'all development types' unless
The background evidence supporting them - Please enter your comments	

exceptionality can be proven [eg community provided facilities]

The Forum has responsibility for the annual distribution of CIL monies within its neighbourhood. This is a significant administrative task which generates costs related to publicity [website maintenance and comms] which are presently generated through recurrent fundraising events. These are time-consuming for Forum Committee members who are all volunteers, and distracts the Committee from its proper purpose of delivering the Neighbourhood Plan. We propose that a maximum sum of 5% from the annual Neighbourhood CIL Allocation is automatically allowed for administrative expenses incurred by the Forum [as is the Council's equivalent approach to funding their own administration of CIL funds]. This amount is substantially less than the equivalent Parish precept for the Neighbourhood, which is an unparished area.

Any other comments relating to this consultation -  
Please enter your comments

Would you like to request your representation is heard by the examiner? - Yes (If you tick this box please make sure your comments hold all relevant details/evidence to support the request)

Yes

Would you like to be notified when the charging schedule is submitted to the examiner? - To be notified when the charging schedule is submitted to the examiner

Yes

Would you like your personal details as mentioned  
above to be obscured? - Data protection

Yes

Response ID

ANON-SGAA-2E8X-3